## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

ANTONIO FLORES RAYMUNDO,

Defendant and Appellant.

H027534 (Santa Clara County Super. Ct. No. CC322693)

Defendant, Antonio Flores Raymundo appeals from a judgment entered after he pleaded no contest to one count of possession of ammunition by a prohibited person. (Pen. Code, § 12316, subd. (b)) with one prison prior (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b)). In exchange for a promise that he would receive the low-term of 16 months state prison, defendant pleaded no contest and admitted the prison prior. The trial court struck the prison prior pursuant to Penal Code section 1385, sentenced defendant to 16 months prison and awarded defendant 91 days credit for time served. Defendant filed a timely notice of appeal and moved for and was granted a certificate of probable cause. We appointed counsel to represent defendant in this court.

Appointed counsel filed an opening brief which states the case and the facts but raises no specific issues. We notified defendant of his right to submit written argument in his own behalf within 30 days. That period has elapsed and we have received no written argument from defendant.

Pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, we have reviewed the entire record and have concluded that there is no arguable issue on appeal.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.	
	RUSHING, P.J.
WE CONCUR:	
PREMO, J.	
ELIA, J.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendant has also filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus which we ordered considered with his appeal. We dispose of this habeas petition by separate order this day.